

Digital Communication Transformation in Legal and Socio-Legal Perspectives: The Urgency of Digital Literacy

Sri Ayu Irawati

Faculty of Law, Universitas Wisnuwardhana, Malang, Indonesia

Abstract: The rapid transformation of digital technology has reshaped patterns of human communication, shifting many interpersonal interactions from face-to-face settings to instant messaging, electronic mail, and other digital platforms. Although digital communication enables users to formulate, review, store, and document messages more efficiently, existing discussions have often focused primarily on technological convenience and changes in communication behavior, while giving comparatively limited attention to the intersection between legal protection, communication ethics, and digital literacy. This study addresses this gap by examining digital communication as both a legal and socio-legal environment and by identifying the regulatory and literacy requirements necessary for responsible digital interaction. The study employs a normative juridical method using statutory and conceptual approaches. Its primary legal sources are Indonesia's Law on Electronic Information and Transactions and Law No. 27 of 2022 on Personal Data Protection, supported by relevant legal concepts concerning electronic communication, privacy, legal responsibility, and digital conduct. The findings demonstrate that the transition to text-based digital communication creates legal implications that extend beyond changes in communication media. The absence of facial expressions, tone, body language, and immediate contextual clarification may increase the risk of textual ambiguity, misinterpretation, evidentiary disputes, privacy violations, unauthorized dissemination of electronic information, and misuse of personal data. The study further finds that legal regulation alone is insufficient to address these risks. Digital literacy functions as an essential socio-legal mechanism by enabling individuals to understand the legal consequences of electronic communication, protect personal and third-party data, critically interpret digital messages, maintain communication ethics, and exercise greater responsibility before creating, transmitting, or disseminating digital content. The novelty of this study lies in integrating normative legal analysis with a socio-legal understanding of digital literacy, thereby positioning digital literacy not merely as a technical competence but as an instrument of preventive legal awareness and responsible digital citizenship. Practically, the study contributes to the development of an ethical, secure, and responsible digital communication framework based on legal awareness, privacy protection, careful interpretation of electronic messages, and accountability in digital interactions. Accordingly, an effective future communication model should not simply privilege digital communication over face-to-face interaction, but should combine technological efficiency with legal compliance, ethical responsibility, digital literacy, and the contextual strengths of interpersonal communication.

Correspondence:

Name : Sri Ayu Irawati

Email : velioraps1@gmail.com

Received: Aug 30, 2026;

Revised: Sep 11, 2026;

Accepted: Sep 28, 2026;

Published : Oct 30, 2026;

Keywords: Digital Communication; Digital Literacy; Legal Awareness; Personal Data Protection; Socio-Legal Studies



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) license (

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The development of digital technology has fundamentally transformed the way people communicate. Communication that was previously conducted primarily through direct conversation, physical correspondence, or face-to-face meetings is now increasingly mediated through smartphones, instant messaging applications, electronic mail, social media, and other digital platforms. This transformation has not merely accelerated the transmission of information; it has also altered the ways in which individuals formulate messages, interpret meaning, maintain social relationships, preserve records of interac-

tion, and understand responsibility for what they communicate. Digital communication should therefore no longer be understood solely as an interpersonal activity facilitated by technology. It increasingly operates within a broader social and legal environment in which electronic messages may generate legal consequences for their senders, recipients, and, in certain circumstances, third parties.

A message exchanged through WhatsApp, electronic mail, social media, or another digital platform may contain an instruction, agreement, confirmation, expression of intention, business communication, personal statement, or disclosure of information that may subsequently acquire legal relevance. Unlike many forms of oral communication, digital messages may be stored, copied, forwarded, reproduced, searched, and presented as records of previous interactions. These characteristics can contribute to legal certainty by providing documentary traces of communication. At the same time, however, the existence of an electronic record does not automatically eliminate uncertainty concerning its meaning, context, authenticity, intended recipient, or legal significance. A written message may be interpreted differently when separated from the circumstances in which it was produced, while informal expressions used in digital conversations may later become the subject of disputes concerning intention, consent, obligation, or responsibility. The increasing legal relevance of digital messages therefore raises an important socio-legal question concerning the extent to which electronically documented communication can enhance legal certainty while simultaneously creating new forms of interpretative and evidentiary uncertainty. The transformation of communication also has significant implications for the protection of personal data.

A significant distinction in this regard can be observed between face-to-face and written digital communication. Face-to-face communication combines verbal and non-verbal components in real time. Facial expressions, eye contact, body posture, gestures, tone of voice, pauses, and spontaneous reactions can assist recipients in interpreting the speaker's intended meaning. At the same time, these non-verbal elements may influence perceptions of the communicator independently of the substantive content of the message. For example, an individual who appears nervous while presenting an argument may be perceived as less convincing even when the substance of the argument is strong. Written digital communication reduces some of these immediate non-verbal influences and provides greater opportunities for individuals to formulate, reconsider, edit, and review their messages before transmission. However, the reduction of contextual and non-verbal cues may also increase ambiguity, misunderstanding, and disagreement concerning the intended meaning of a written electronic message. This study addresses this gap by examining the transformation from predominantly face-to-face communication to digitally mediated communication through an integrated legal and socio-legal framework. Rather than treating digital communication merely as a technological innovation, the study considers it as a social practice governed by legal norms and accompanied by new forms of individual responsibility. Particular attention is given to the relationship between the characteristics of written digital communication and legal certainty, including the documentation, interpretation, and potential evidentiary significance of electronic messages. The study also examines how the persistence, reproducibility, and transferability of digital information affect the protection of personal data. Through this approach,

the research seeks to demonstrate that the legal challenges of digital communication cannot be addressed solely through formal regulation. They also require legal awareness, ethical communication practices, and digital literacy that enable individuals to understand both the communicative and legal consequences of their activities in digital environments.

Conversely, a person who speaks confidently may appear more persuasive even when the underlying argument is not necessarily stronger. The communication process may therefore contain a competition between the substance of the message and the recipient's perception of the communicator (Kojima dkk., 2021)

This situation becomes particularly relevant in professional, academic, administrative, and legal environments. The effectiveness of communication should ideally be determined by the clarity and substance of the information conveyed. However, direct communication may be affected by emotional conditions, nervousness, body language, facial expressions, speaking style, and other non-substantive factors. Written digital communication creates a different communicative environment. Before sending a message, an individual generally has the opportunity to formulate the content, select appropriate words, review the message, correct errors, and reconsider the possible consequences of the communication. Electronic mail and instant messaging therefore provide a greater opportunity for reflective communication. This characteristic does not mean that digital communication is inherently better than face-to-face communication. Rather, the source of interpretative difficulty changes. In face-to-face communication, interpretation may be strongly affected by non-verbal behavior. In written digital communication, interpretation may instead depend upon word choice, punctuation, sentence structure, timing, conversational history, and the relationship between the participants. A sentence such as "Please complete this today" may be interpreted as a normal instruction, a demand, or a form of pressure depending on the context. The absence of facial expression and vocal tone may make the intended meaning less certain. Digital communication therefore does not eliminate interpretation; it transforms the mechanisms through which interpretation occurs (Kojima dkk., 2021)

This transformation has direct legal implications. Indonesia has developed a specific regulatory framework governing electronic information and transactions. Law Number 1 of 2024 constitutes the Second Amendment to Law Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions. The official legal framework recognizes the importance of legal certainty in the digital environment, including in relation to electronic information and transactions. The legal relevance of digital communication is also reflected in the recognition of electronic information and electronic documents within Indonesia's legal framework. Communication conducted electronically may therefore become relevant to disputes, contractual relationships, administrative decisions, employment relationships, and other legal circumstances (Apandi dkk., 2024; Law Number 1 of 2024 Concerning the Second Amendment to Law Number 11 of 2008 Concerning Electronic Information and Transactions, 2024; Rahmadani dkk., 2024)

At the same time, digital communication increasingly involves the processing and dissemination of personal data. Names, telephone numbers, photographs, identification information, addresses, employment information, financial information, and other personal information may be exchanged through digital platforms. Law Number 27 of 2022 concerning Personal Data Protection establishes a comprehensive legal framework concerning personal data, including data-subject rights, processing, obligations of controllers and processors, transfers, sanctions, dispute resolution, and criminal provisions. The legal problem is consequently not limited to whether information can technically be communicated or forwarded. It also concerns whether the sender or recipient has a legitimate basis to process, reproduce, disclose, or distribute the information. A person who receives a private message does not automatically obtain unlimited authority to publish or redistribute its contents (Brilliannata dkk., 2022; Budiman, 2023; Fauzy & Shandy, 2023; Law Number 1 of 2024 Concerning the Second Amendment to Law

Number 11 of 2008 Concerning Electronic Information and Transactions, 2024; Usman & Prakasa, 2024)

From a socio-legal perspective, these developments demonstrate that technological transformation and social transformation occur simultaneously. Law operates within society, while changes in social behavior create new circumstances to which law must respond. Digital communication changes patterns of trust, interaction, documentation, privacy, and dispute formation. It also changes the way individuals understand the boundaries between private and public communication. The Indonesian government's digital literacy framework is relevant to this transformation. Digital literacy has been developed through four principal dimensions: digital skills, digital ethics, digital safety, and digital culture. These dimensions demonstrate that digital literacy is not merely the ability to operate technological devices but also includes responsible behavior, protection of personal information, ethical interaction, and understanding of digital culture (Hafizhah, 2024; Ministry of Communication and Informatics of the Republic of Indonesia, 2021; Sholehudin, 2025).

The contemporary development of legal scholarship also demonstrates increasing attention to the relationship between law and digital transformation. Recent legal scholarship has addressed issues including electronic communication, cybercrime, personal data protection, freedom of expression, digital justice, and the legal implications of emerging technologies. However, much of the existing discussion approaches digital technology through specific legal problems, such as cybercrime, personal data protection, electronic transactions, or freedom of expression. A broader socio-legal analysis of the transformation of communication itself remains important, particularly concerning the distinction between face-to-face and written digital communication, the role of non-verbal behavior in interpretation, the advantages of reflective written communication, and the legal consequences of digital traces (Gandawidjaja dkk., t.t.; Harahap & Marpaung, 2024; Prasetyo dkk., 2024; Pratama Sihotang & Ginting, 2026; Sari dkk., 2023; Umbara & Setiawan, 2022)

This study therefore addresses three principal questions. First, how does the transformation from face-to-face to digital communication affect social interaction and behavior from a socio-legal perspective? Second, what are the legal implications of digital communication under Indonesia's Electronic Information and Transactions Law and Personal Data Protection Law? Third, how can digital literacy contribute to adaptive, ethical, and legally responsible communication in future society? The novelty of this study lies in positioning communication transformation as a socio-legal phenomenon rather than treating digital communication solely as a technological instrument or a medium for cybercrime. The study connects communication behavior, interpretation, legal evidence, privacy, legal awareness, and digital culture in a single analytical framework. The purpose of this research is therefore to analyze the transformation of communication from legal and socio-legal perspectives and to formulate the role of digital literacy in creating an adaptive communication culture. The research does not advocate the complete replacement of face-to-face communication by digital communication. Instead, it proposes that future communication should combine the strengths of both forms while developing stronger awareness of legal and ethical responsibility.

2. Materials and Methods

This study employs a normative juridical research method using statutory and conceptual approaches. The normative juridical method is appropriate because the research examines legal norms governing electronic information, electronic communication, electronic documents, privacy, and personal data protection, while also interpreting those norms in relation to social changes resulting from digital communication. The statutory approach examines the Indonesian legal framework relevant to digital communication. The principal legal materials include the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia, Law

Number 1 of 2024 concerning the Second Amendment to Law Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions, and Law Number 27 of 2022 concerning Personal Data Protection (Republic of Indonesia, 1945, 2022, 2024).

The conceptual approach is used to analyze the concepts of digital communication, legal awareness, legal culture, digital literacy, privacy, social interaction, and socio-legal transformation. The approach allows the research to move beyond the textual examination of legislation and consider how legal norms operate within changing social communication practices. The legal materials consist of primary and secondary materials. Primary legal materials include legislation and relevant legal instruments. Secondary legal materials include scientific journal articles, scholarly books, legal scholarship, and official publications concerning digital communication, digital literacy, privacy, electronic information, and legal culture (Latifiani dkk., 2022; Riwanto, 2022; Sholehudin, 2025).

The materials were collected through literature research and analyzed qualitatively. The analysis is descriptive-analytical and prescriptive. The descriptive component identifies the transformation of communication and the applicable legal framework, while the analytical component examines the relationship between communication practices, legal norms, and social behavior. The prescriptive component formulates an appropriate model of digital literacy and communication responsibility for future society. The analysis is organized around five interconnected dimensions: technological development, communication transformation, interpretation, legal regulation, and socio-legal culture. This framework is intended to demonstrate that digital communication is not simply a technological development but a social environment in which legal norms and social values interact.

Secondary literature was selected for its direct relevance to digital communication, digital literacy, privacy and personal data protection, online expression, cybercrime, and legal culture. The scholarly sources used in the analysis are concentrated in 2021–2026, while primary legal materials are cited according to their year of enactment or promulgation. The study does not claim to be a systematic review; rather, it uses doctrinal and conceptual synthesis to connect legal norms with socio-legal changes in communication practices.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Communication Transformation and the Changing Nature of Interpretation

Communication is fundamentally a process of transmitting meaning between individuals. The meaning received by the recipient, however, is not always identical to the intention of the sender. The medium through which communication takes place contributes to the construction of meaning. Face-to-face communication contains a relatively rich combination of verbal and non-verbal information. A speaker's tone, facial expression, gestures, posture, eye contact, and immediate reaction may provide contextual information. These elements can help clarify whether a statement is serious, humorous, neutral, critical, or emotional. However, the same elements can also influence judgment. A person's nervousness may be interpreted as uncertainty. Limited eye contact may be interpreted as a lack of confidence. A particular facial expression may be perceived as disagreement or hostility even when the substantive message does not contain such an intention. This phenomenon demonstrates that face-to-face communication contains an unavoidable relationship between the message and the communicator's physical presentation (Kojima dkk., 2021).

Digital written communication operates differently. The sender has greater opportunity to control the message before it is delivered. A message can be drafted, reviewed, corrected, and reconsidered. In professional communication, this characteristic is particularly important because the sender can focus on the substantive content rather than responding spontaneously under social pressure. The advantage of written digital communication therefore lies partly in its reflective character. Reflection can improve clarity.

It allows the sender to ask whether the sentence is sufficiently precise, whether the intended meaning could be misunderstood, and whether the language is appropriate for the recipient. However, digital communication introduces a different interpretative problem. Because facial expressions, gestures, and tone are often unavailable, recipients may construct meaning from limited textual indicators. Punctuation, capitalization, response time, emojis, message length, and previous conversation may become substitutes for non-verbal communication. The result is that communication problems are not eliminated by digitalization. They are reorganized (Beck dkk., 2021; Reilly, 2021).

From a socio-legal perspective, this is important because legal responsibility may sometimes depend on the interpretation of communication. A message may become evidence in a dispute, but the legal assessment cannot necessarily be separated from the context in which the message was produced. The distinction between what was written and what was intended therefore becomes important. Digital literacy should include the ability to anticipate this distinction.

3.2 Digital Communication and Legal Certainty

The transformation of communication creates new demands upon legal certainty. Digital communication may generate a permanent record that can be stored, copied, forwarded, or reproduced. This characteristic distinguishes many forms of digital communication from oral communication that may not automatically create a durable record. The evidentiary value of electronic information is therefore significant. Indonesian law has developed a framework recognizing electronic information and electronic documents within legal processes. The existence of this framework demonstrates that digital communication has moved from the private sphere into the formal legal environment. However, legal recognition of electronic information should not be interpreted as meaning that every screenshot or message automatically establishes the complete truth of a dispute (Law Number 1 of 2024 Concerning the Second Amendment to Law Number 11 of 2008 Concerning Electronic Information and Transactions, 2024).

The legal significance of this phenomenon lies in the possibility that communication may create consequences beyond the sender's original intention. A person may intend to communicate privately but inadvertently create a broader digital distribution. Conversely, a recipient may intentionally redistribute information in a manner that affects another person's reputation, privacy, or legal interests. Digital literacy should therefore include awareness of the principle that communication creates responsibility beyond the moment of sending (Apandi dkk., 2024; Rahmadani dkk., 2024; Sari dkk., 2023).

3.3 Privacy and Personal Data Protection in Digital Communication

Privacy represents another major legal issue arising from communication transformation. Digital communication platforms routinely involve personal information. Even a simple conversation may contain names, telephone numbers, photographs, addresses, employment information, health information, financial information, or other identifying information. Law Number 27 of 2022 establishes a specific framework for personal data protection. The law regulates personal data categories, rights of data subjects, processing of personal data, obligations of controllers and processors, transfer of personal data, sanctions, dispute resolution, and related criminal provisions. This framework demonstrates that personal data protection is not merely a technological security issue. It is also a question of legal responsibility (Budiman, 2023; Fauzy & Shandy, 2023; Law Number 27 of 2022 concerning Personal Data Protection, 2022; Pratiwi dkk., 2025).

The individual user of a digital platform therefore has a role in preventing privacy violations. The act of forwarding a message, uploading a photograph, sharing a document, or distributing a screenshot should not be viewed merely as a technical action. It can also constitute a socially and legally meaningful act. The distinction between private and public communication consequently becomes increasingly important. In traditional communication, the physical boundaries of a conversation were relatively visible. A conversation conducted in a private room generally involved a limited group of partici-

pants. In digital communication, the boundary is technically much more flexible. A private message can be reproduced and distributed to a large audience almost instantaneously.

The law must therefore operate together with social awareness. Regulation establishes rights and obligations, but everyday compliance depends substantially upon legal culture. If individuals do not understand the value of privacy, even detailed legislation may have limited preventive effect (Putra, 2022; Rughinis dkk., 2021).

3.4 Digital Literacy as Preventive Legal Awareness

Digital literacy should not be understood merely as the ability to operate smartphones, social media, electronic mail, or other applications. Indonesia's official digital literacy framework identifies four interconnected pillars: digital skills, digital ethics, digital safety, and digital culture. These pillars demonstrate that responsible participation in the digital environment requires more than technical competence. These four pillars have direct relevance to legal awareness. Digital skills enable individuals to use technological systems effectively. Digital ethics establishes appropriate standards of behavior when communicating with others. Digital safety promotes protection of personal information, accounts, devices, and digital identities. Digital culture concerns the values and habits through which individuals participate in digital society (Ministry of Communication and Informatics of the Republic of Indonesia, 2021).

From a legal perspective, these pillars can be understood as a preventive mechanism. A digitally literate individual should ask several questions before sending or distributing information: Is the information accurate? Is the recipient appropriate? Does the information contain another person's personal data? Could the message reasonably be interpreted in a harmful manner? Does its distribution have legal consequences? Is there a legitimate reason to publish or forward it? These questions represent the development of preventive legal consciousness. Legal awareness should therefore not begin only when a person receives a legal notice or becomes involved in litigation. It should begin when the individual decides what to write, whom to contact, what information to disclose, and whether a communication should be forwarded (Hafizhah, 2024; Putra, 2022).

3.5 Digital Communication and Socio-Legal Transformation

Law and society influence one another. When society changes its communication practices, the law encounters new forms of behavior requiring regulation. The development of digital communication illustrates this relationship clearly. The law must respond to electronic transactions, electronic evidence, personal data, online expression, cybercrime, and other digital activities. At the same time, individuals must adapt their behavior to legal norms established in the digital environment.

The present study adds another dimension by emphasizing the communication process itself. Digital transformation should not only be examined when technology is used to commit a legal violation. It should also be examined when technology changes ordinary communication. This preventive perspective is particularly important because many legal disputes begin as ordinary interpersonal interactions. Misunderstanding, unclear instructions, inappropriate wording, unauthorized distribution of information, and misinterpretation can develop into more serious disputes. A stronger communication culture may therefore reduce legal conflict before it occurs (Umbara & Setiawan, 2022).

3.6 Written Digital Communication and the Future of Legal Communication

The growing use of written digital communication suggests that writing may become increasingly important in future professional, institutional, and legal environments. Written communication enables individuals to document instructions, confirm decisions, record agreements, preserve information, and create retrievable records of interaction. In organizational settings, these characteristics can strengthen accountability because partici-

pants are able to review what was communicated, identify the source of particular instructions, and clarify the sequence of decisions. For individuals who experience difficulty expressing themselves spontaneously, written communication may also provide a more equal communicative environment by allowing additional time to organize thoughts and formulate responses rather than being evaluated primarily through immediate verbal performance. The transformation toward written digital communication also has broader implications for the formation of legal culture. Legal culture refers not only to knowledge of formal legal rules but also to the attitudes, expectations, habits, and patterns of behavior through which individuals understand and respond to law in everyday life. As digital communication becomes embedded in routine social and professional interactions, individuals are increasingly required to recognize that electronic messages may have consequences beyond their immediate communicative purpose. Messages, photographs, documents, screenshots, recordings, and other digital materials may become relevant to questions of consent, contractual intention, privacy, personal data protection, reputation, responsibility, or evidence. Consequently, everyday digital practices may gradually shape public expectations concerning what constitutes responsible, lawful, and accountable communication.

This transformation may contribute positively to legal culture by strengthening habits of documentation, verification, confirmation, and accountability. Individuals who understand that electronic communications may be retained and subsequently examined may become more careful in formulating instructions, expressing commitments, sharing information, and responding to others. In professional environments, the practice of confirming important decisions in writing may reduce uncertainty and provide greater clarity regarding responsibilities. Similarly, awareness of personal data protection may encourage individuals to consider whether they have a lawful and legitimate basis for collecting, forwarding, publishing, or otherwise using information concerning another person. In this sense, digital communication can contribute to the internalization of legal values by making legal responsibility more visible in routine communicative practices. At the same time, the development of a digital legal culture is not an automatic consequence of increased technology use. The ease with which messages and personal information can be copied, forwarded, stored, or disseminated may also normalize practices that conflict with privacy, confidentiality, and responsible communication. For example, forwarding a private conversation, circulating screenshots without appropriate consideration of the parties involved, or sharing personal information outside its original context may be technologically simple but legally and ethically problematic. A society may therefore become highly digitally connected without necessarily developing a corresponding level of legal awareness. This distinction is important because technological literacy alone does not ensure legal literacy.

The socio-legal implication is that digital literacy should include an understanding of rights, obligations, risks, and accountability in electronic communication. Individuals need to recognize that digital communication involves not only the ability to use devices and platforms but also the capacity to assess the legal and ethical consequences of creating, storing, transmitting, and redistributing information. This includes awareness of

privacy, consent, personal data protection, confidentiality, the potential evidentiary value of electronic messages, and the possibility that digital content may persist beyond its original context. Digital literacy therefore contributes to legal culture when it transforms legal principles into everyday communicative habits.

Third, organizations should develop clear communication policies concerning the use of instant messaging, electronic mail, document sharing, screenshots, recordings, and personal data. Clear internal rules may reduce misunderstanding and provide stronger accountability. Fourth, legal institutions should continue adapting legal interpretation to technological developments without sacrificing fundamental legal principles such as legal certainty, proportionality, privacy, due process, and freedom of expression (Rahmadani dkk., 2024).

Fifth, digital communication should be understood as part of the broader development of legal culture. The effectiveness of digital regulation ultimately depends not only on the quality of legislation but also on the behavior of individuals and institutions. These implications demonstrate that legal adaptation should be preventive as well as corrective. The objective should not merely be to punish unlawful digital conduct after harm has occurred. It should also be to create social conditions in which individuals understand the consequences of digital communication before they act.

4. Conclusions

The transformation from face-to-face communication to digital communication represents a significant socio-legal change. Face-to-face communication provides rich contextual information through facial expressions, body language, gestures, tone, and immediate reactions. However, these same characteristics may influence how a communicator is evaluated independently of the substantive quality of the message. Nervousness, emotional conditions, speaking style, and non-verbal behavior can therefore affect the recipient's interpretation. Written digital communication provides a different environment. Through instant messaging and electronic mail, individuals generally have greater opportunities to formulate, review, correct, and reconsider their messages before delivery. This reflective characteristic may improve clarity and reduce certain forms of interpersonal bias. Nevertheless, digital communication does not eliminate interpretation. Instead, it creates new interpretative challenges because meaning may depend heavily on textual context, punctuation, timing, conversational history, and the relationship between participants.

From a legal perspective, digital communication has become part of the contemporary legal environment. Law Number 1 of 2024 strengthens the legal framework governing electronic information and transactions, while Law Number 27 of 2022 provides comprehensive protection for personal data. From a socio-legal perspective, the transformation demonstrates that technological development changes social behavior and consequently influences legal culture. The increasing use of digital communication changes how individuals build trust, document interactions, interpret messages, protect privacy, and understand responsibility. Digital literacy therefore has a central preventive role. It should encompass not only technological skills but also digital ethics, digital safety, digital culture, and legal awareness. Indonesia's four-pillar digital literacy framework provides an important foundation for this development.

Empirical research should also investigate the relationship between communication medium and legal certainty. For example, future studies may assess whether written confirmation following face-to-face discussions reduces disputes concerning instructions, consent, agreements, or organizational decisions. Such studies could examine the extent to which hybrid communication practices improve documentation and evidentiary clarity while preserving the contextual advantages of direct interaction. Longitudinal studies would be particularly valuable in determining whether repeated use of hybrid communication gradually influences legal awareness, communication habits, and organizational legal culture.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, investigation, formal analysis, writing—original draft preparation, and writing review and editing, S.A.I. The author has read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. This study used normative juridical research and did not involve human participants or animals.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new empirical datasets were created or analyzed. The study is based on publicly available legislation, official publications, and scholarly literature cited in the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- Apandi, M., Rahayu, K., Prayugo, W. A., & Ariany, L. (2024). Normative ambiguity in freedom of expression in the digital era: Analysis of Law Number 1 of 2024 concerning amend-ments to Law Number 11 of 2008 concerning electronic information and transactions. *Jurnal Hukum Lex Generalis*, 5(12).
- Beck, E., Goin, M. E., Ho, A., Parks, A., & Rowe, S. (2021). Critical digital literacy as method for teaching tactics of response to online surveillance and privacy erosion. *Computers and Composition*, 61, 102654. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2021.102654>
- Brilliannata, J., Jamiilah, R., & Talib, H. (2022). Protection of personal data information in Indonesia. *LEGAL BRIEF*, 11(4), 2263–2267.
- Budiman, R. (2023). The development of personal data protection law in Indonesia: Challenges and prospects for the implementation of Law No. 27 of 2022. *Jurnal Smart Hukum (JSH)*, 2(1), 24–36. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.55299/jsh.v2i1.1352>
- Fauzy, E., & Shandy, N. A. R. (2023). Hak atas privasi dan politik hukum Undang-Undang Nomor 27 Tahun 2022 tentang Pelindungan Data Pribadi. *Lex Renaissance*, 7(3), 445–461. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.20885/JLR.vol7.iss3.art>
- Gandawidjaja, Y., Bunawan, P., & Purba, C. J. F. X. (t.t.). The role of the state towards data protection in the use of artificial intelligence through cooperation between countries in ASEAN. *LEGAL BRIEF*, 13(6), 1462–1474. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35335/legal.v13i6.1205>
- Hafizhah, A. (2024). Navigating legal awareness in the digital era: Cultivating a digital culture in Indonesia. *Mahadi: Indonesia Journal of Law*, 3(1), 36–41.
- Harahap, A. Z., & Marpaung, Z. A. (2024). Criminal law enforcement against cyber phishing perpetrators: Study of Decision Number 85/Pid.Sus/2022/PN Bjb. *LEGAL BRIEF*, 13(5), 1369–1377.

- Kojima, H., Chen, D., Oka, M., & Ikegami, T. (2021). Analysis and design of social presence in a computer-mediated communication system. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 641927. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.641927>
- Latifiani, D., Yusriyadi, Sarono, A., Al Fikry, A. H., & Cholis, M. N. (2022). Reconstruction of e-court legal culture in civil law enforcement. *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies*, 7(2), 441–448. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/jils.v7i2.59993>
- Law Number 1 of 2024 Concerning the Second Amendment to Law Number 11 of 2008 Concerning Electronic Information and Transactions (2024).
- Law Number 27 of 2022 concerning Personal Data Protection (2022).
- Ministry of Communication and Informatics of the Republic of Indonesia. (2021). *Digital literacy framework: Digital skills, digital ethics, digital safety, and digital culture*.
- Prasetyo, R. A., Sidarta, D. D., Borman, M. S., & Subekti, S. (2024). Characteristics of hate speech acts through social media. *Innovative: Journal of Social Science Research*, 4(4), 9013–9025.
- Pratama Sihotang, T. S. P., & Ginting, E. D. (2026). The effectiveness of Law Number 27 of 2022 concerning personal data protection in combating cybercrime in Indonesia. *LEGAL BRIEF*, 14(6), 1392–1401. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35335/legal.v14i6.1586>
- Pratiwi, B., Fadilla, N. N., Syawal, I. N., & Zholanda. (2025). The implementation of personal data protection policy within Indonesia's digital legal system. *Perkara: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum dan Politik*, 3(2), 844–859. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.51903/2r46zv36>
- Putra, G. R. A. (2022). Kesadaran hukum masyarakat terhadap privasi digital: Potret, faktor determinan, dan tantangan dalam mewujudkan kepatuhan terhadap UU PDP. *ADALAH: Buletin Hukum dan Keadilan*, 6(7). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15408/adalah.v6i7.51172>
- Rahmadani, A., Paramita, M. L., Haura, S., & Firman, F. (2024). Regulasi digital dan implikasinya terhadap kebebasan berpendapat (Studi kasus: UU ITE pada platform media sosial di In-donesia). *Journal of Social Contemplativa*, 2(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.61183/jsc.v2i1.75>
- Reilly, C. A. (2021). Reading risk: Preparing students to develop critical digital literacies and advocate for privacy in digital spaces. *Computers and Composition*, 61, 102652. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2021.102652>
- Riwanto, A. (2022). Construction of legal culture model for corruption prevention through social media in Indonesia. *Jurnal Hukum dan Peradilan*, 11(3), 385–404.
- Rughinis, R., Rughinis, C., Vulpe, S. N., & Rosner, D. (2021). From social netizens to data citizens: Variations of GDPR awareness in 28 European countries. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 42, 105585. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2021.105585>
- Sari, A. P., Munawar, A., & Rahmathoni, L. Y. (2023). Legal analysis of whistleblower protection in support of freedom of expression in Indonesia. *Jurnal Hukum Lex Generalis*, 4(7).
- Sholehudin, D. S. (2025). Digital legal culture: Developing the concept of legal culture in the era of social media from a sociological law perspective. *Education of Law Journal*, 1(1), 43–54. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21831/edulaw.v1i1.1577>
- Umbara, A., & Setiawan, D. A. (2022). Analisis kriminologis terhadap peningkatan kejahatan siber di masa pandemi COVID-19. *Jurnal Riset Ilmu Hukum*, 2(2), 81–88. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.29313/jrih.v2i2.1324>
- Usman, N. B., & Prakasa, S. U. W. (2024). Perlindungan hukum data pribadi dan pertanggungjawaban otoritas terhadap keamanan siber menurut tinjauan UU PDP. *Doktrina: Journal of Law*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31289/doktrina.v7i2.11627>